Defendant OC HELICOPTERS, LLC ("Defendant"), for itself and itself alone, answers the Complaint filed by JOHN JAMES ALTOBELLI, an individual and as Successor in Interest to ALYSSA ALTOBELLI, JOHN ALTOBELLI, and KERI ALTOBELLI; ALEXIS ALTOBELLI, a minor, by and through her Guardian JOHN JAMES ALTOBELLI (collectively "Plaintiffs"), as follows:

The Complaint in this matter being unverified, Defendant, pursuant to section 431.30(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure, now files its General Denial to the unverified Complaint, and in answering all the allegations contained therein, denies generally and specifically each cause of action contained therein, and further denies that Plaintiffs have sustained or will sustain damages in the sums alleged, or in any other sum or sums, or at all because of Defendant's conduct.

For further and separate answers to Plaintiffs' Complaint, and by way of affirmative defenses, Defendant alleges as follows:

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute any cause of action.

## SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs, or any of them, are barred from obtaining relief because the injuries and damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiffs, if any, were legally caused by the intervening and superseding actions of others, which intervening and superseding actions bar or diminish Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against this answering Defendant.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs' damages, if any, were actually and legally caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence or other fault of third parties, persons, corporations, or entities, and, by reason thereby, Plaintiffs' alleged

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

111

28

injuries and damages, if any, should be reduced according to the proportion of comparative fault attributable to said third parties, persons, corporations, or entities.

### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that if Plaintiffs suffered damages or injury as alleged in the Complaint, such damage and injury, if any, was the result of the sole, primary, affirmative, and active negligence and/or fault of other named defendants and/or other persons or entities not presently known to this answering Defendant, and Defendant is thereby entitled to full indemnity and contribution from them.

### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that its acts, as it pertains to the allegations contained in the Complaint, were reasonable, privileged, justified, lawful, and undertaken in good faith compliance with all applicable laws, statutes, regulations, and contractual obligations.

### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs, or any of them, are barred from obtaining relief because any duty or obligation allegedly owed to Plaintiffs, contractual or otherwise, has been fully performed, satisfied, excused, and/or discharged.

# SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that there is no causal connection between the damages alleged in the Complaint and any act or omission attributable to answering Defendant.

## EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, fails to the extent that Defendant was not the proximate cause or legal cause of Plaintiffs' injury.

htt	s://ehlinelaw.com/blog/ko	be-copter-lawsuit/blog/kobe-co	ppter-lawsuit	
1	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays:			
2	1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Complaint;			
3	2. That Defendant be awarded its costs of suit in defense of Plaintiffs' Complaint;			
4			lief as the Court deems proper.	
5	3. 10	i such other and further	rener as the Court deems proper.	
6	Dated: November	r 4, 2020	LAW OFFICES OF DINA ADHAM	
7				
8			By: DINA ADHAM	
9			Attorney for Defendant OC HELICOPTERS, LLC	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22	ii.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			4	
	(	OC HELICOPTERS, LI	LC'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF	
1	I Docum	nent purchased block thinks it always	INSPARIATION Authorite's APLC for research and public awareness.	

http	s://ehlinelaw.com/blog/kobe-copter-lawsuit/blog/kobe-copter-lawsuit				
1	PROOF OF SERVICE				
2	1013a(3) CCP				
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1230 Rosecrans				
5	Avenue, Suite 300, PMB 698, Manhattan Beach, California 90266. My address for electronic service is dadham@adhamlawgroup.com.				
7	On the date below, I electronically served the foregoing document(s), described as				
8	OC HELICOPTERS, LLC'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF JOHN JAMES				
9	ALTOBELLI, ET AL.				
10	on each of the interested parties in this action by attaching \( \Boxed{\square} \) the original \( \Boxed{\subset} \) a true and correct				
11	PDF copy thereof addressed as follows:				
12	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST				
13					
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 4, 2020, at Redondo Beach, California.				
15	loregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 4, 2020, at redoined Beach, Camornia.				
16	Dina Adham				
17	Signature				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	5				
	OC HELICOPTERS, LLC'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF				

https://ehlinelaw.com/blog/kobe-copter-lawsuit/blog/kobe-copter-lawsuit