Defendant OC HELICOPTERS, LLC ("Defendant"), for itself and itself alone, answers the Complaint filed by MATTHEW MAUSER, an individual and as Successor in Interest to CHRISTINA MAUSER; PENELOPE MAUSER, a minor, by and through her Guardian MATTHEW MAUSER; THOMAS MAUSER, a minor, by and through his Guardian MATTHEW MAUSER; IVY MAUSER, a minor, by and through her Guardian MATTHEW MAUSER (collectively "Plaintiffs"), as follows:

The Complaint in this matter being unverified, Defendant, pursuant to section 431.30(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure, now files its General Denial to the unverified Complaint, and in answering all the allegations contained therein, denies generally and specifically each cause of action contained therein, and further denies that Plaintiffs have sustained or will sustain damages in the sums alleged, or in any other sum or sums, or at all because of Defendant's conduct.

For further and separate answers to Plaintiffs' Complaint, and by way of affirmative defenses, Defendant alleges as follows:

### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute any cause of action.

# SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs, or any of them, are barred from obtaining relief because the injuries and damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiffs, if any, were legally caused by the intervening and superseding actions of others, which intervening and superseding actions bar or diminish Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against this answering Defendant.

# THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs' damages, if any, were actually and legally caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence or other fault of third parties, persons, corporations, or entities, and, by reason thereby, Plaintiffs' alleged

injuries and damages, if any, should be reduced according to the proportion of comparative fault attributable to said third parties, persons, corporations, or entities.

### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that if Plaintiffs suffered damages or injury as alleged in the Complaint, such damage and injury, if any, was the result of the sole, primary, affirmative, and active negligence and/or fault of other named defendants and/or other persons or entities not presently known to this answering Defendant, and Defendant is thereby entitled to full indemnity and contribution from them.

### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that its acts, as it pertains to the allegations contained in the Complaint, were reasonable, privileged, justified, lawful, and undertaken in good faith compliance with all applicable laws, statutes, regulations, and contractual obligations.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs, or any of them, are barred from obtaining relief because any duty or obligation allegedly owed to Plaintiffs, contractual or otherwise, has been fully performed, satisfied, excused, and/or discharged.

## **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that there is no causal connection between the damages alleged in the Complaint and any act or omission attributable to answering Defendant.

## EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint, and each cause of action therein, fails to the extent that Defendant was not the proximate cause or legal cause of Plaintiffs' injury.

httr	s://ehlinelaw.com/blog/kobe-copter-lawsuit/blog/kobe-copter-lawsuit	
1	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays:	
2	That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Complaint;	
3	2. That Defendant be awarded its costs of suit in defense of Plaintiffs' Complaint;	
4	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.	
5	and the court decins proper.	
6	Dated: November 4, 2020 LAW OFFICES OF DINA ADHAM	
7		
8	By: DINA ADHAM Attorney for Defendant	
9	OC HELICOPTERS, LLC	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	<i>y</i>	
27		
28	4	
	OC HELICOPTERS, LLC'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF  Document purchased by EMACTATHEN PASALISTER, AECTOPYS, APLC for research and public awareness.	
	Document purchased by Entine Law Frith Personal Phyllery, Artornays, APLC for research and public awareness.	

httį	ps://ehlinelaw.com/blog/kobe-copter-lawsuit/blog/kobe-copter-lawsuit	
1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	1013a(3) CCP	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1230 Rosecrans	
5	Avenue, Suite 300, PMB 698, Manhattan Beach, California 90266. My address for electronic service is dadham@adhamlawgroup.com.	
6 7	On the date below, I electronically served the foregoing document(s), described as	
8	OC HELICOPTERS, LLC'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF MATTHEW	
9	MAUSER, ET AL.	
10	on each of the interested parties in this action by attaching \( \Bar{\sqrt{1}} \) the original \( \Bar{\sqrt{2}} \) a true and correct	
11	PDF copy thereof addressed as follows:	
12	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST	
13		
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 4, 2020, at Redondo Beach, California.	
15	5 5 The state of t	
16	Dina Adham Muma	
17	Signature	
18		
19		
20	· ·	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	OC HELICOPTERS, LLC'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF	
	Document purchased by EMINE TANDERS ALL STURY	