Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 10/23/2020 02:01 PM Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by L. Marquez, Deputy Clerk

Defendant OC HELICOPTERS, LLC ("Defendant"), for itself and itself alone, answers the Second Amended Complaint filed by VANESSA BRYANT, individually and as Successor in Interest to KOBE BRYANT, Deceased; VANESSA BRYANT as Successor in Interest to GB, a minor, deceased; NB a minor, by her Natural Mother and Guardian Ad Litem, VANESSA BRYANT; BB, a minor, by her Natural Mother and Guardian Ad Litem, VANESSA BRYANT; and CB, a minor, by her Natural Mother and Guardian Ad Litem, VANESSA BRYANT (collectively "Plaintiffs"), as follows:

The Second Amended Complaint in this matter being unverified, Defendant, pursuant to section 431.30(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure, now files its General Denial to the unverified Second Amended Complaint, and in answering all the allegations contained therein, denies generally and specifically each cause of action contained therein, and further denies that Plaintiffs have sustained or will sustain damages in the sums alleged, or in any other sum or sums, or at all because of Defendant's conduct.

For further and separate answers to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, and by way of affirmative defenses, Defendant alleges as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Second Amended Complaint, and each cause of action therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute any cause of action.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs, or any of them, are barred from obtaining relief because the injuries and damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiffs, if any, were legally caused by the intervening and superseding actions of others, which intervening and superseding actions bar or diminish Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against this answering Defendant.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs' damages, if any, were actually and legally caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence or other fault of

///

third parties, persons, corporations, or entities, and, by reason thereby, Plaintiffs' alleged injuries and damages, if any, should be reduced according to the proportion of comparative fault attributable to said third parties, persons, corporations, or entities.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that if Plaintiffs suffered damages or injury as alleged in the Second Amended Complaint, such damage and injury, if any, was the result of the sole, primary, affirmative, and active negligence and/or fault of other named defendants and/or other persons or entities not presently known to this answering Defendant, and Defendant is thereby entitled to full indemnity and contribution from them.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that its acts, as it pertains to the allegations contained in the Second Amended Complaint, were reasonable, privileged, justified, lawful, and undertaken in good faith compliance with all applicable laws, statutes, regulations, and contractual obligations.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs, or any of them, are barred from obtaining relief because any duty or obligation allegedly owed to Plaintiffs, contractual or otherwise, has been fully performed, satisfied, excused, and/or discharged.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that there is no causal connection between the damages alleged in the Second Amended Complaint and any act or omission attributable to answering Defendant.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Second Amended Complaint, and each cause of action therein, fails to the extent that Defendant was not the proximate cause or legal cause of Plaintiffs' injury.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays:

- 1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Second Amended Complaint;
- 2. That Defendant be awarded its costs of suit in defense of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint;
 - 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: October 23, 2020

LAW OFFICES OF DINA ADHAM

By:

Attorney for Defendant

OC HELICOPTERS, LLC

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

PROOF OF SERVICE 1013a(3) CCP

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 300, PMB 698, Manhattan Beach, California 90266. My address for electronic service is dadham@adhamlawgroup.com.

On the date below, I electronically served the foregoing document(s), described as

OC HELICOPTERS, LLC'S ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF VANESSA BRYANT, ET AL.

on each of the interested parties in this action by attaching \(\sigma\) the original \(\mathbb{Z}\) a true and correct PDF copy thereof addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 23, 2020, at Redondo Beach, California.

Dina Adham

Signature

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **SERVICE LIST** 2 Gary C. Robb, Esq. Arthur I. Willner, Esq. 3 Anita Porte Robb, Esq. LEADER BERKON COLAO & Andrew C. Robb, Esq. SILVERSTEIN, LLP 4 Brittany Sanders Robb, Esq. 660 S. Figueroa, Suite 1150 **ROBB & ROBB** Los Angeles, CA 90017 5 (213) 234-1750 One Kansas City Place, Suite 3900 6 1200 Main Street awillner@leaderberkon.com Kansas City, MO 64105 7 (816) 474-8080 Raymond L. Mariani, Esq. gcr@robbrobb.com LEADER BERKON COLAO & 8 apr@robbrobb.com SILVERSTEIN, LLP 9 acr@robbrobb.com 630 Third Avenue, Floor 17 bsr@robbrobb.com New York, NY 10017 10 rmariani@leaderberkon.com Brad D. Brian, Esq. 11 Luis Li, Esq. **Attorneys for Defendant Berge Zobayan** MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP as successor in interest for Ara George 12 350 S. Grand Avenue, 50th floor Zobavan 13 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3426 (213) 683-9100 Ross Cunningham, Esq. 14 Brad.brian@mto.com Don Swaim, Esq. Luis.li@mto.com D. Todd Parrish, Esq. 15 CUNNINGHAM SWAIM, LLP 4015 Main Street, Suite 200 **Attorneys for Plaintiffs** 16 Dallas, Texas 75226 17 (214) 646-1495 rcunningham@cunninghamswaim.com 18 dswaim@cunninghamswaim.com tparrish@cunninghamswaim.com 19 Michael J. Terhar, Esq, 20 CUNNINGHAM SWAIM, LLP 21 2 N. Lake Avenue, Suite 550 Pasadena, CA 91101 22 mterher@cunninghamswaim.com 23 Attorneys for Island Express Helicopters, Inc. and Island Express Holding Corp. 24 25 26 27 28